

READY FOR THE **FUTURE**?

31ST ULD CARE ANNUAL CONFERENCE

New Solutions for Old Problems







Transfer of ULD assets- a very old problem

RECOMMENDED that, when a unit load device (ULD), loaded or empty, is transferred, the Transferring Party shall provide the Receiving Party a receipt (in paper or electronic form) for the transferred unit(s). When a receipt in paper form is used, the ULD Control Receipt as shown in Attachment 'A' hereto shall be used. The Transferring Party shall be entitled to a confirmation from the

Receiving Party and the Receiving Party shall, once verified,

countersign the ULD Control Receipt







TATA

What's holding us back?

Interline ULD Control System Overview



SYSTEM OVERVIEW Below is a flow diagram generally illustrating the IULD tracking system.

LEGACY PROTOCOLS AND SYSTEMS

10.4.2 Typical MUC Message

Example:

QU YMQFFXB .HDQWWAF 141532 MUC SEQ123 PAP9026AF/26JUL1999/0930/JL/AF/HND/057 21418/CDG/SER PAP8646AF/27JUL1999/1200/AZ/AF/LIN/057 26803/LIN/SER AAN0530C0/28JUL1999/0030/C0/AF/ORD/057 39150/ORD/DAM P1P2418FM/30JUL1999/1005/FM/AF/TY0/057 21419/TY0/DAM TTL004



Are you ready for the future of ULD asset management?

1	TRANSFER
	AKE2800GF
	AW23590GF
	PAG5499GF
	TRANSFER
	0



AVEO	DOCE	
ANEZO	OUGF	=
AW23	590GF	
PAG54	199GF	
F	ECEIVE	





Current status

- IULDUG 40+ years old
- Upgraded around 2007
 - Transitioned from paper print outs to online
 - Removed from IATA mainframe to independent server
 - Remains under service contract with Unilode
 - Process around 35000 transactions per year





TATA

ULD Control Manual

7th Edition Effective 1 March 2000

International Air Transport Association Montreal — Geneva

Legacy

QU YMQFFXB .HDQWWAF 141532 MUC SEQ123 PAP9026AF/26JUL

PAP9026AF/26JUL1999/0930/JL/AF/HND/057 21418/CDG/SER PAP8646AF/27JUL1999/1200/AZ/AF/LIN/057 26803/LIN/SER AAN0530C0/28JUL1999/0030/C0/AF/ORD/057 39150/ORD/DAM P1P2418FM/30JUL1999/1005/FM/AF/TY0/057 21419/TY0/DAM TTL004



System use by carrier HI 2018

IULDUG useage distribution







CARRIER	RELIEVED BY SELF -1.5	RELIEVED BY ANOTHER -1.5	OF ANOTHER RELIEVED BY YOU (NON- CHARGEABLE)	SPECIAL CODE	TOTAL
Totals	6440	7842	8073	366	14648







- Maybe 90% of ULD transfers conducted outside the scope of IULDUG
- ULD " discipline" almost non existent
- Multiple parties handling ULD assets with extremely low control
- Use of IATA ULD Control Receipt become "optional", no consequence for failure to follow IATA procedures.



IULDUG Evolution

ULDO

Function	1970-2008	2008-2018	Future
Physical Recording	Paper UCR	Paper UCR	Smart phone APP with terminal input as option
System Input	SITA MUC messages	SITA & Email MUC messages	Data feed
Processor	Main frame	Server	Cloud
System Output	Paper print outs	On line	On line and data feed





10.3.1 Carrier/Participant Field Office Procedures

When transferring a Unit Load Device (ULD) to another carrier or participant, the following action should be taken:

(a) complete a ULD Control Receipt as per Recommended Practice 1654 (see Chapter 6);

(b) forward original (white) copy to your Head Office ULD Control Centre;

(c) provide receiving carrier/participant with a copy (green) of the Control Receipt;

(d) retain a copy (pink) on the Field Office file;

in order to expedite the input of information to the Head Office ULD Control Centre, it is recommended that Field Offices, in addition to forwarding the white copies of completed Control Receipts, also send an LUC message for each completed Control Receipt, on a daily basis.





"PARTY". Any company involved in the movement and transfer of ULDs, including but not limited to, be an airline, ground handler, ramp handler, leasing or pooling company, land-based transport company.

"RECEIVING PARTY". A party that receives an aircraft ULD from a transferring carrier at a transfer point.

"TRANSFERRING PARTY". A party that transfers an aircraft ULD to a receiving party at a transfer point. The "Carrier" and "Handling Company" shall be as identified in the Ground Handling Agreements.

"TRANSFER". The transfer of cargo or baggage or mail under the terms of an IATA Interline Traffic Agreement from one carrier to another, irrespective of whether either carrier has appointed a handling company to act on its behalf. Transfers made by or to handling companies will be considered to be transfers by the carriers for the purposes of completing control receipts.



IULDUG evolution











Solution Delivery















Using the long is demonstrated and the second and hand darkes in adjust to application and is in the set of the dark terms of a set of a second area or all parameters of a control overall and darkes in adjust to application and is in the dark term and the set of the dark basis of the dark term and the set of the dark term and the dark term and terms of the dark term and term an

Author: SCS-FRA / volid as of: 01.02.2011





AKE	2800G	F	
AW2	359OG	F	
PAG	5 499 G	F	
	RECE	VE	

ULDC RR



ULDCHAR CODE

Data management





LILCOUR SUBER

Output evolution

Report: Owner Units Transferred										A -	
RC	UNIT CODE	тр	FD	# TRANSFERS	DAYS OUT*	AVG DAYS OUT*	LOST UNITS	% NO CHARGE	DEMURRAGE REC	MTH BALANCE	YTD TOTAL
BA	AKE	JFK	XXX	1	29	29	0	100%	0.00	0.00	0.00
				1	29	29	0		0.00	0.00	0.00
DL	PMC	GRU	xxx	3	461	153	0	0%	3,746.00	3,746.00	8,534.00
DL	PMC	JFK	XXX	24	186	7	0	17%	554.00	554.00	1,445.00
				27	647	160	0		4,300.00	4,300.00	9,979.00
EK	AKE	LHR	xxx	5	38	7	0	0%	146.00	146.00	3.002.00
EK	PMC	LHR	XXX	13	123	9	0	8%	487.00	487.00	16,204.00
				18	161	16	0		633.00	633.00	19,206.00
LA	DQF	LIM	XXX	8	292	36	0	38%	1,499.00	1,499.00	3.402.00
LA	DQF	MVD	XXX	1	27	27	0	100%	0.00	0.00	0.00
LA	FQA	LIM	XXX	1	14	14	0	0%	63.00	63.00	63.00
LA	PLA	LIM	XXX	1	0	0	1	100%	0.00	0.00	0.00
				11	333	77	1		1,562.00	1,562.00	3,465.00
QF	PLA	HNL	XXX	1	1	1	0	100%	0.00	0.00	91.00
QF	PMC	HNL	XXX	31	263	8	0	39%	1,168.00	1,168.00	7,132.00
QF	PMC	MEL	XXX	2	21	10	0	0%	92.00	92.00	3,864.00
QF	PMC	NRT	XXX	1	15	15	0	0%	84.00	84.00	185.00
QF	PMC	SYD	XXX	4	33	8	0	0%	109.00	109.00	1,193.00
-				39	333	42	0		1,453.00	1,453.00	12,465.00
QR	AKE	LHR	xxx	1	24	24	0	0%	213.00	213.00	213.00
QR	AKE	PHL	XXX	2	75	37	0	100%	0.00	0.00	0.00
10000				3	99	61	0		213.00	213.00	213.00





Screen Output

- Region
 - N.America
 - Europe
 - S. America
 - Asia
 - etc





- Atlanta: AKE 100, PMC 220, PLA 25
- Los Angeles: AKE 75, PMC 300, PLA 14
- New York: AKE 120, PMC, 235, PLA 10
- Chicago: AKE 130, PMC 180, PLA 0
- etc



Atlanta drill down

- ATL 100 AKE, 200 PMC, 25 PLA
 - ABC Cargo terminal
 - Acme forwarders
 - Joe's forwarders

- 50 AKE, 100 PMC, 12 PLA
 - 25 AKE, 50 PMC, 9 PLA
 - 25 AKE, 50 PMC, 4 PLA



Forwarder drill down

- Acme forwarders:
 - Within free demurrage: 15 AKE, 40 PMC, 9 PLA
 - I-3 days overdue:4 AKE,8 PMC
 - 4-6 days overdue: I AKE, 2 PMC





Blockchain

- Blockchain and Asset tracking
 - A perfect application
 - Scalable
 - Integrate with smartphone APP
 - Immutable





What can we get from a new system

- Ability to handle " real world" addresses, expand footprint
- Instantaneous date entry and update- provide ULD controllers with accurate real time information on their ULD fleet.
- Eliminate unreliable and inaccurate paper based actions
- Add photographic evidence of ULD condition
- Ability to manage variable demurrage rates
- Bring discipline back to ULD



Why ULD CARE?

- Industry neutral platform
- Build on existing IULDUG system
- Non competing, potential to collaborate with other industry bodies
 - -IATA
 - -ASA
 - FIATA
- Who else can/will do it?





Why Now?

- Current system cannot go on for ever, we may find that the underlying software is not longer supported (eg. Windows NT)
- New technology now available
- Opportunity to drive change in the manner ULD are used
- Current system relying on outdated data entry model, new tech enables us to bypass legacy messaging.



- Partnership opportunities
 - ASA
 - IATA
 - FIATA
 - Others
- Models
 - Risk sharing partner
 - Loan



An old old problem

- ULD have underpinned the spectacular growth in Air Cargo
- The evolution of ULD operations from airport to airport airline operations to a system wide multimodal operation was never planned, it just happened.
- The principles and processes governing the transfer of ULD between parties are unchanged since the 1970's.
- Much of the ULD operational activity is performed without discipline, a result of weak chains of responsibility



New Solutions

- Mobile Apps
 - Replace pencil and paper with hand held and QR codes
 - Matched with BLE and other tagging systems
 - Dependable
- Blockchain
 - Designed to manage the transfer of "tokens" (ULD) in an immutable manner.